IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA **MARTINSBURG**

RICHARD DOUGLAS LINCOLN,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:15-CV-30

(GROH)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of

the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge James E.

Seibert [ECF No. 20], entered on September 24, 2015, to which neither party filed

objections.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a de novo

review of those portions of the R&R to which objection is made. However, failure to file

objections permits the district court to review the R&R under the standard that it

believes to be appropriate, and under this circumstance, the parties' right to de novo

review is waived. See Webb v. Califano, 468 F. Supp. 825, 830-31 (E.D. Cal. 1979).

Pursuant to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R&R, as well as 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C)

and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(d), objections were due within fourteen plus three

days after entry of the R&R. Accordingly, because no objections have been filed, this

Court will review the R&R for clear error.

In this matter, Magistrate Judge Seibert found that substantial evidence supports

the findings of the administrative law judge ("ALJ"). Specifically, Magistrate Judge

Seibert found that the ALJ's determination that the Plaintiff was not disabled prior to

January 12, 2013, but became disabled on that date and remained disabled through the

date of the ALJ's February 25, 2014 decision, is supported by substantial evidence and

in accordance with social security regulations.

Accordingly, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge Seibert's Report

and Recommendation [ECF No. 20] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED.

For the reasons more fully stated in the Report and Recommendation, this Court

ORDERS that the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 17] is DENIED

and the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 18] is GRANTED. The

Court further ORDERS that this matter be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and

STRICKEN from this Court's active docket.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, the Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter

a separate order of judgment in favor of the Defendant.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record

and the pro se Plaintiff.

DATED: December 9, 2015

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2